Antiemetic medication for prevention and treatment of chemotherapy induced nausea and vomiting in childhood A Cochrane Systematic Review

Robert S Phillips¹, Faith Gibson², Shireen Gopaul³, Kate Light¹, Jean V Craig⁴, Barry Pizer⁵, Elizabeth Houghton⁵

¹Centre for Reviews & Dissemination, University of York, VK, ²Patient Care Research & Innovation Centre, UCL Institute of Child Health and Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Trust, London, UK, ³Paediatric Department, The Leeds Teaching Hospital NHS Trust, Leeds, UK, ⁴Evidence-Based Child Health Unit, Institute of Child Health, University of Liverpool, UK, ⁵Oncology Unit, Royal Liverpool Children's Hospital NHS Trust, Liverpool, UK

Introduction

Nausea and vomiting has continued to be a problem for children undergoing treatment for malignancies¹. Current practices were often underpinned by personal preferences and experiences. But what is the optimal paediatric dosing and scheduling of antiemtics?

Methods

A literature search of Cochrane Library, Ovid Medline, Embase, DARE, LILACS databases, trial registries and conferences including ASCO and SIOP was undertaken. Reference lists from the selected articles were reviewed and local experts in the field contacted. The search strategy has been detailed opposite. Studies were considered if they were randomised controlled trials investigating the use of antiemetics in children who have experienced chemotherapy induced nausea and vomiting. References of any identified systematic reviews were scoured and personal communication with the authors of relevant trials was initiated to request further information on published, unpublished or ongoing studies.

Search Strategy

The keywords used for the search included the childhood filter strategy promoted by the Cochrane Childhood Cancer Group, a sensitive trials filter, keywords related to nausea and vomiting, a filter cancer and specific antiemetics².



Analysis 1.1	Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE	Additional Dexamethasone	5HT3 antagonist alone	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio		
				Total	Total					
	Hirota 1993	0.470004	0.223607	20	20	34.8%	1.60 [1.03, 2.48]		- <u>-</u>	
	Alvarez 1995	0.887303	0.163299	30	30	65.2%	2.43 [1.76, 3.34]		-	
	Total (95% CI)			50	50	100.0%	2.10 [1.62, 2.72]		•	
				٦/					·	

 Π elerogeneity. $\Box \Pi^{2} = 2.27$, $\Box = 1$ (P = 0.13), $I^{2} = 30\%$ Test for overall effect: Z = 5.63 (P < 0.00001)

0.1 100 0.01 10 Favours 5HT3 antagonist Favours additional Dex

Analysis 2.1				Granisitron 20mcg/kg Granisitron 40mcg/kg			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		
	Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE	Total	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		
	Komada 1999	-0.15906	0.220479	36	36	11.0%	0.85 [0.55, 1.31]			
	Tsuchida 1999	-0.09995	0.165879	44	43	19.5%	0.90 [0.65, 1.25]			
	Mabro 2000	-0.05345	0.087879	143	151	69.5%	0.95 [0.80, 1.13]			
	Total (95% CI)			223	230	100.0%	0.93 [0.80, 1.07]			
	Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.23, df = 2 (P = 0.89); $I^2 = 0\%$ Test for overall effect: Z = 1.01 (P = 0.31)							0.5 0.7 1 1.5 2 Favours 40mcg/kg Favours 20mcg/kg		

Results

A total of 844 potentially useful individual articles were identified, but only 28 studies were eligible for inclusion. These studies examined a wide range of different pharmacological antiemetics, using different doses and comparators, and reported a variety of outcomes.

The majority of quantitative data reported the complete control of acute vomiting. Nausea outcomes were reported in only 10 studies.

Discussion

This systematic review has demonstrated the existence of a surprisingly small number of trials addressing the prevention and treatment of chemotherapy induced nausea and vomiting in children.

While a clearly defined route, schedule or dose of maximal efficiency of any antiemetic medication cannot be determined from this review, there has been evidence to suggest benefit from the use of 5HT3 dexamethasone, in antagonists with highly emetogenic chemotherapy.

For only two groups of studies was a pooled analysis possible. The use of additive steroids combined with 5-HT3 antagonists had been examined in two studies^{3,4} which are pooled and demonstrate good benefit. The other compared granisitron 20 microg/kg with 40 microg/kg and this demonstrated no clear difference in the doses^{5,6,7}.

Future research questions should evaluate patient centred differences between the 5HT3 antagonists, explore dosing and duration and clarify the role of new agents. This research should be reported with validated age-appropriate measures and should be performed in conjunction with children, young people and families that have undergone chemotherapy⁸.

References

1. Holdsworth et al. Acute and delayed nausea and emesis control in pediatric oncology patients. Cancer 2006; 106(4): 931-40.

2. www.ccg.cochrane.org

- 3. Alvarez O, Freeman A, Bedros A, Call S K, Volsch J, Kalbermatter O, et al. Randomized double-blind crossover ondansetron- placebo study for the treatment of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in pediatric patients with malignancies. Journal of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology 1995;17(2):145-50
- 4. Hirota T, Honjo T, Kuroda R, Saeki K, Katano N, Sakakibara Y, et al. [Antiemetic efficacy of granisetron and granisetron plus methylprednisolone as antiemetic prophylaxis]. Gan to Kagaku Ryoho [Japanese Journal of Cancer & Chemotherapy] 1993;20(15):2369-73.
- 5. Komada Y, Matsuyama T, Takao A, Hongo T, Nishimura Y, Horibe K, et al. A randomised dose-comparison trial of granisetron in preventing emesis in children with leukaemia receiving emetogenic chemotherapy. European Journal of Cancer 1999;35(7):1095-101.
- 6. Tsuchida Y, Hayashi Y, Asami K, Yamamoto K, Yokoyama J, Mugishima H, et al. Effects of granisetron in children undergoing high-dose chemotherapy: a multi-institutional, cross-over study. International Journal of Oncology 1999;14(4):673-9.
- 7. Mabro M, Cohn R, Zanesco L, Madon E, Hahlen K, Margueritte G, et al. [Oral granisetron solution as prophylaxis for chemotherapy-induced emesis in children: double-blind study of 2 doses]. BULLETIN DU
- CANCER 2000;87(3):259-64.
- 8. Hinds Pamela S. Patient-reported outcomes: a desirable specialty standard for oncology or an incomplete measurement approach? Cancer Nursing 2008;31(4):259-60.-